United States of America

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the

Eastern District of North Carolina

V.)	
JOSE BOJORQUEZ-CANA) Case No. 5:16-MJ-1132-JG	
Defendant)	
DETENT	TION ORDER PENDING TRIAL	
After conducting a detention hearing un require that the defendant be detained pending to	der the Bail Reform Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f), I conclude that these facts rial.	
]	Part I—Findings of Fact	
\square (1) The defendant is charged with an offense	e described in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1) and has previously been convicted	
of \Box a federal offense \Box a state of	r local offense that would have been a federal offense if federal	
jurisdiction had existed - that is		
☐ a crime of violence as defined in for which the prison term is 10 years.	18 U.S.C. § 3156(a)(4)or an offense listed in 18 U.S.C. § 2332b(g)(5) ears or more.	
☐ an offense for which the maximu	im sentence is death or life imprisonment.	
☐ an offense for which a maximum	prison term of ten years or more is prescribed in	
	.*	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	endant had been convicted of two or more prior federal offenses (1)(A)-(C), or comparable state or local offenses:	
\Box any felony that is not a crime of	violence but involves:	
□ a minor victim		
\Box the possession or use of a fire	earm or destructive device or any other dangerous weapon	
☐ a failure to register under 18	U.S.C. § 2250	
\Box (2) The offense described in finding (1) v federal, state release or local offense.	The offense described in finding (1) was committed while the defendant was on release pending trial for a federal, state release or local offense.	
\Box (3) A period of less than five years has el	lapsed since the \Box date of conviction \Box the defendant's release	
from prison for the offense described	in finding (1).	
	a rebuttable presumption that no condition will reasonably assure the safety. I further find that the defendant has not rebutted this presumption.	
_	Alternative Findings (A)	
\Box (1) There is probable cause to believe th	There is probable cause to believe that the defendant has committed an offense	
- · · ·	n of ten years or more is prescribed in .	
□ under 18 U.S.C. § 924(c).	·	

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the

Eastern District of North Carolina

□ (2)	The defendant has not rebutted the presumption est the defendant's appearance and the safety of the co	ablished by finding 1 that no condition will reasonably assure ommunity.
	Alternative I	Findings (B)
L (1)	(1) There is a serious risk that the defendant will not appear.	
□ (2)	There is a serious risk that the defendant will enda	inger the safety of another person or the community.
I	Part II— Statement of the find that the testimony and information submitted at	
☐ Bas	<u> </u>	hat n hearing, there is no condition or combination of conditions, that can uppearance and/or the safety of another person or the community.
		bination of conditions, that can be imposed which would reasonably
ass	sure the defendant's appearance and/or safety of another page of the charges	The lack of stable employment
	The apparent strength of the government's case	The lack of a suitable custodian
	The indication of substance abuse	The fact that the charges arose while on state probation
	The defendant's criminal history	The history of probation revocations
	Other: Lack of connections to EDNC; Substantial conn	ections to another country.
	Part III—Directions l	Regarding Detention
in a corre	ections facility separate, to the extent practicable, fro	orney General or a designated representative for confinement om persons awaiting or serving sentences or held in custody e opportunity to consult privately with defense counsel. On

order of United States Court or on request of an attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility

must deliver the defendant to the United States marshal for a court appearance.

Date: February 19, 2016

Robert T. Numbers, II United States Magistrate Judge

Printed name and title